



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,364	11/27/2006	Guenter Glunk	D4700-00427	3192
8933	7590	01/18/2012	EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS LLP - Philadelphia IP DEPARTMENT 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				BAKER, LORI LYNN
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3751				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/18/2012		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,364	GLUNK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L BAKER	3751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2011.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on _____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.

4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

5) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7-15 is/are pending in the application.

5a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

7) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-15 is/are rejected.

8) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

9) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

11) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/6/11 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti in view of JP 02013637. The rejection from the previous office is incorporated herein. Malasorti discloses all of the limitations of the invention except for an interior of the profile. Thus, in an analogous art, JP 02013637 shows in figure 3 the recited limitations, as broadly claimed, "front side and a rear side formed by front and rear walls spaced by an interior of the profile". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an interior to accommodate a fitting and because a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti in view of Gransow DE 102004005161A1. The rejection from the previous office is incorporated herein. Malasorti discloses all of the limitations of the invention except for an interior of the profile. Thus, in an analogous art, Gransow shows in figure 12 the recited limitations, as broadly claimed, “front side 4 and a rear side 1 formed by front and rear walls spaced by an interior (space proximate lead line 240a) of the profile”. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an interior to accommodate a fitting and because a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and JP 02013637 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Wilson. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and JP 02013637 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Neher

Bad. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

7. Claims 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and JP 02013637 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Keramische Werke. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and Gransow as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Wilson. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

9. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and Gransow as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Neher Bad. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

10. Claims 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malasorti and Gransow as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Keramische Werke. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, see rejection above.

Conclusion

11. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office Action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L BAKER whose telephone number is (571)272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm. For interview requests, please contact the examiner directly and submit PTO Form 413A.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L BAKER/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

COMMUNICATIONS VIA THE INTERNET AND AUTHORIZATION

Communications via Internet e-mail are at the discretion of the applicant.

Without a written authorization by applicant in place, the USPTO will not respond via Internet e-mail to any Internet correspondence which contains information subject to the confidentiality requirement as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 122. A paper copy of such correspondence will be placed in the appropriate patent application.

The following is a sample authorization form which may be used by applicant:

"Recognizing that Internet communications are not secure, I hereby authorize the USPTO to communicate with me concerning any subject matter of this application by electronic mail. I understand that a copy of these communications will be made of record in the application file."

A written authorization may be withdrawn by filing a signed paper clearly identifying the original authorization. The following is a sample form which may be used by applicant to withdraw the authorization:

"The authorization given on _____, to the USPTO to communicate with me via the Internet is hereby withdrawn. I understand that the withdrawal is effective when approved rather than when received."

Where a written authorization is given by the applicant, communications via Internet e-mail, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used. In such case, a printed copy of the Internet e-mail communications MUST be given a paper number, entered into the Patent Application Locating and Monitoring System (PALM) and entered in the patent application file. A reply to an Office action may NOT be communicated by applicant to the USPTO via Internet e-mail. If such a reply is submitted by applicant via Internet e-mail, a paper copy will be placed in the appropriate patent application file with an indication that the reply is NOT ENTERED.

All reissue applications are open to public inspection under 37 CFR 1.11(a) and all papers relating to a reexamination proceeding which have been entered of record in the patent or

reexamination file are open to public inspection under 37 CFR 1.11(d). USPTO employees are NOT permitted to initiate communications with applicant in a reissue application or a patentee of a reexamination proceeding via Internet e-mail unless written authorization is given by the applicant or patentee.